

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY**

Competitive Market Initiatives (Phase II)))))	D.T.E. 01-54
--	------------------	--------------

**REPLY COMMENTS OF DUKE ENERGY
TRADING AND MARKETING, LLC**

Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC (“DETM”) hereby files these reply comments to several of the initial comments that were filed for Phase II of this proceeding. Specifically, DETM would like to clarify its initial comments and reinforce those made by other energy companies concerning the expansion of Customer Information Lists to include information about customers receiving service from competitive suppliers. The distribution companies’ inclusion of these customers on its lists, as evidenced by a majority of initial comments, is necessary for long-term competition in the retail market and ensures equal choice for all Massachusetts consumers. See Initial Comments of Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company at 6; Initial Comments of Select Energy at 3; Initial Comments of the Competitive Suppliers at 12; Initial Comments of Massachusetts Division of Energy Resources at 8; Initial Comments of Western Massachusetts Electric Company at 4; Initial Comments of Boston Edison Company, Cambridge Electric Light Company, d/b/a NSTAR Electric at 12.

DETM, however, agrees with comments made by several companies that these lists should not disclose the identity of a customer’s current competitive supplier. Initial Comments of Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company at 6; Initial Comments of Select Energy at 3. Such a disclosure could potentially harm competitive suppliers by providing

competitors with critical market share information, and thus could harm market competition as a whole. Thus, the distribution companies should be directed to include in their lists general information about all Massachusetts customers and merely indicate whether the customer is receiving "Standard Offer Service, Default Service, or competitive supply." Initial Comments of the Competitive Suppliers at 12.

WHEREFORE, DETM requests that the Department consider these reply comments in determining whether the Customer Information Lists should be expanded to include information about customers receiving energy from competitive suppliers.

Dated: January 11, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

George E. Johnson
M. Eric Eversole
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLC
2101 L Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20037
Tel. (202) 785-9700
Fax (202) 887-0689

Attorneys for Duke Energy Trading
and Marketing, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day I served the foregoing document and eight copies to Secretary Mary L. Cottrell, Department of Telecommunications and Energy, by overnight delivery service. I also served this filing via electronic mail to dte.efiling@state.ma.us, jeanne.voveris@state.ma.us, and the parties listed on the electronic mail service list, as set forth in the Hearing Officer's December 11, 2001, memorandum requesting initial comments for Phase II.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 11th day of January, 2002.

M. Eric Eversole